

PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

CONTRACT INFORMATION (To be completed by Contractor/Consultant)

1. Contractor/Consultant Information:

Firm Name: _____ Email : _____
Address: _____ Contact Name: _____
Phone Number: _____ Contact Phone: _____

2. Work Performed as: Prime Consultant Sub Contractor Joint Venture Other (Explain):

3. Contract Information:

Contract Number: _____
Contract Type: Firm Fixed Price Cost Reimbursement Other (Please specify):
Contract Title: _____ Contract Location: _____

Award Date (mm/dd/yy): _____
Contract Completion Date: _____ Actual Completion Date : _____

Explain Differences:

Original Contract Price (Award Amount): _____
Final Contract Price (to include all modifications, if applicable) : _____
Explain Differences:

4. Project Description:

Complexity of Work: High Med Routine

How is this project relevant to project of submission? (Please provide details such as similar Deliverables, Outreach plans, Presentations.)

CLIENT INFORMATION (To be completed by Client)

5. Client Information:

Name: _____ Phone Number: _____
Title: _____ Email Address: _____

6. Describe the client's role in the project:

Client Signature:

Date:

**ADJECTIVE RAITINGS AND DEFINITIONS TO BE USED TO BEST REFLECT
YOUR EVALUATION OF THE CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE**

RAITING	DEFINITION	NOTE
(E) Exceptional	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government/Owner's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were highly effective	An Exceptional rating is appropriate when the contractor successfully performed multiple significant events that were of benefit to the Government/Owner. A singular benefit, however, could be of such magnitude that it alone constitutes an Exceptional rating. Also there should have been NO significant weaknesses identified.
(VG) Very Good	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government/Owner's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were effective	A Very Good raiting is appropriate when the Contractor successfully performed a significant event that was a benefit to the Government/Owner. There should have been no significant weaknesses identified.
(S) Satisfactory	Performance meets minimum contractual requirements. The contractual prformance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory	A Satisfactory raiting is appropriate when there were only minor problems, or major problems that the contractor recovered from without impact to the contract. There should have been NO significant weaknesses identified. Per DOD policy, a fundamental principle of assigning raitings is that the contractors will not be assessed a raiting lower than Satisfactory solely for not performing beyond the requirements of the contract.
(M) Marginal	Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented.	A Marginal raiting is appropriate when a significant event occurred that the contractor had trouble overcoming which impacted the Government/Owner.
(U) Unsatisfactory	Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective.	An Unsatisfactory raiting is appropriate when multiple significant events occurred that the contractor had trouble overcoming and which impacted the Government/Owner. A singular problem, however, could be of such serious magnitude that it alone constitutes an unsatisfactory raiting.
(N) Not Applicable	No information or did not apply to your contract	Raiting will be neither positive nor negative.

PAST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION
(TO BE COMPLETED BY CLIENT)

1. QUALITY	
a) Quality of technical data/report preparation efforts	E VG S M U N
b) Ability to meet quality standards specified for technical performance	E VG S M U N
c) Timeliness/effectiveness of contract problem resolution without extensive customer guidance	E VG S M U N
d) Adequacy/effectiveness of quality control program and adherence to contract quality assurance requirements (without adverse effect on performance)	E VG S M U N
2. SCHEDULE/TIMELINESS OF PERFORMANCE:	
a) Compliance with contract delivery/completion schedules including any significant intermediate milestones. <i>(If liquidated damages were assessed or the schedule was not met, please address below)</i>	E VG S M U N
b) Rate the consultant's use of available resources to accomplish tasks identified in the contract	E VG S M U N
3. CUSTOMER SATISFACTION	
a) To what extent were the end users satisfied with the project?	E VG S M U N
b) Consultant was reasonable and cooperative in dealing with your staff (including the ability to successfully resolve disagreements/disputes; responsiveness to administrative reports, businesslike and communication)	E VG S M U N
c) To what extent was the consultant cooperative, businesslike, and concerned with the interests of the customer?	E VG S M U N
d) Overall customer satisfaction	E VG S M U N
4. MANAGEMENT/PERSONNEL/LABOR	
a) Effectiveness of on-site management of consultants, and/or labor force?	E VG S M U N
b) Ability to hire, apply, and retain a qualified workforce through this effort	E VG S M U N
c) Knowledge/expertise demonstrated by consultant personnel	E VG S M U N
d) Ability to simultaneously manage multiple projects with multiple disciplines	E VG S M U N
e) Ability to assimilate and incorporate changes in requirements and/or priority, including planning, execution and response to Government changed	E VG S M U N
f) Effectiveness of overall management (including ability to effectively lead, manage and control the program)	E VG S M U N

5. COST/FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT	
a) Ability to meet the terms and conditions within the contractually agreed price(s)?	E VG S M U N
b) Consultant proposed innovative alternative methods/processes that reduced cost, improved maintainability or other factors that benefited the client	E VG S M U N
c) Is the Consultant's accounting system adequate for management and tracking of costs? <i>If no, please explain in Remarks section.</i>	Yes No
d) If this is/was a Government contract, has/was this contract been partially or completely terminated for default or convenience or are there any pending terminations? <i>Indicate if show cause or cure notices were issued, or any default action in comment section below.</i>	Yes No
e) Have there been any indications that the consultant has had any financial problems? <i>If yes, please explain below.</i>	Yes No
6. GENERAL	
a) Ability to successfully respond to emergency and/or surge situations (including notifying COR, PM or Contracting Officer in a timely manner regarding urgent contractual issues)	E VG S M U N
b) Compliance with contractual terms/ provisions <i>(explain if specific issues)</i>	E VG S M U N
c) Would you hire or work with this firm again? <i>(if no, please explain below)</i>	Yes No
d) In summary, provide an overall rating for the work performed by this consultant.	E VG S M U N

Please provide responses to the questions above (if applicable) and/or additional remarks. Furthermore, please provide a brief narrative addressing specific strengths, weaknesses, deficiencies, or other comments which may assist our office in evaluating performance risk. (please attach additional pages if necessary)

Client Signature:

Date:
