

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
RFQ # 2020006
CITY OF BUCKEYE
CONSTRUCTION & CONTRACTING DIVISION**

AMENDMENT #1

NOTE: Attach to Original Request for Qualifications. However, if the Statement of Qualifications (SOQ) has already been returned, complete this amendment and return for attachment to your SOQ no later than 3:00 PM, May 21, 2020.

City of Buckeye
530 East Monroe Avenue
Buckeye, Arizona 85326
Attn: Debby Fasano

SOLICITATION: RFQ #2020006 Construction Manager at Risk Sundance Park Phase II

NOTICE TO CONTRACTORS:

This Amendment forms a part of the Contract and clarifies, corrects, or modifies the original Request for Qualifications documents prepared by the City of Buckeye.

Bid Due Date and Time: May 21, 2020 no later than 3:00 PM

THE FOLLOWING CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THE REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS:

1. The Past Performance Questionnaire was not included in the original solicitation and can be found in Attachment A.

THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS WERE ASKED BY CONTRACTORS:

Q1: Do you know when the award announcement will be made for this Project?

A1: It is our intentions to have this contract on the August 18, 2020 Council Agenda for approval. The Notice of Intent to Award will be posted on approximately June 15, 2020.

Q2: Do you anticipate extending the bid due date?

A2: Not at this time.

Q3: What additional details are you willing to provide, if any, beyond what is stated in bid documents concerning how you will identify the winning bid?

A3: All criteria is listed in the solicitation.

Q4: Was this bid posted to the nationwide free bid notification website at www.mygovwatch.com?

A4: No.

Q5: Other than your own website, where was this bid posted?

A5: The Public Notification was posted in the Arizona Republic on April 24, and May 1, 2020, as required by the Arizona Revised Statutes and the City of Buckeye Procurement Code.

The balance of the specifications and instructions remain the same. Offerors must acknowledge receipt and acceptance of this amendment by returning the entire amendment with the Proposal.

PLEASE ACKNOWLEDGE YOUR FIRM'S RECEIPT OF THIS AMENDMENT BY SIGNING THE ATTACHED SOLICITATION AMENDMENT ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

RFQ# 2020006 – Construction Manager at Risk Sundance Park Phase II

AMENDMENT NUMBER 1

AMENDMENT ISSUE DATE: May 21, 2020, no later than 3:00 PM.

Offeror certifies that Offeror has read, understands, and will fully and faithfully comply with this Request for Qualifications, its attachments and any referenced documents. Offeror also certifies that this offer was independently developed without consultation with any of the other Offerors or potential Offerors.

Name of Company: _____

Authorized Signature: _____

Print Name and Title: _____

Date: _____

Address: _____

City, State, Zip Code: _____

Telephone Number: _____

Email Address: _____

ATTACHMENT A

PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

CONTRACT INFORMATION (To be completed by Contractor)

1. Contractor Information:

Firm Name: _____ Email : _____
Address: _____ Contact Name: _____
Phone Number: _____ Contact Phone: _____

2. Work Performed as: Prime Contractor Sub Contractor Joint Venture Other (Explain): _____

3. Contract Information:

Contract Number: _____
Contract Type: Firm Fixed Price Cost Reimbursement Other (Please specify): _____
Contract Title: _____ Contract Location: _____

Award Date (mm/dd/yy): _____
Contract Completion Date: _____ Actual Completion Date : _____

Explain Differences: _____

Original Contract Price (Award Amount): _____
Final Contract Price (to include all modifications, if applicable) : _____
Explain Differences: _____

4. Project Description:

Complexity of Work: High Med Routine
How is this project relevant to project of submission? (Please provide details such as similar equipment, requirements, conditions, etc.)

CLIENT INFORMATION (To be completed by Client)

5. Client Information:

Name: _____ Phone Number: _____
Title: _____ Email Address: _____

6. Describe the client's role in the project:

Client Signature: _____ **Date:** _____

**ADJECTIVE RAITINGS AND DEFINITIONS TO BE USED TO BEST REFLECT
YOUR EVALUATION OF THE CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE**

RAITING	DEFINITION	NOTE
(E) Exceptional	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many to the Government/Owner's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were highly effective	An Exceptional rating is appropriate when the contractor successfully performed multiple significant events that were of benefit to the Government/Owner. A singular benefit, however, could be of such magnitude that it alone constitutes an Exceptional rating. Also there should have been NO significant weaknesses identified.
(VG) Very Good	Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some to the Government/Owner's benefit. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were effective	A Very Good raiting is appropriate when the Contractor successully performed a significant event that was a benefit to the Government/Owner. There should have been no significant weaknesses identified.
(S) Satisfactory	Performance meets minimum contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory	A Satisfactory raiting is appropriate when there were only minor problems, or major problems that the contractor recovered from without impact to the contract. There should have been NO significant weaknesses identified. Per DOD policy, a fundamental principle of assigning raitings is that the contractors will not be assessed a raiting lower than Satisfactory solely for not performing beyond the requirements of the contract.
(M) Marginal	Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element being assessed reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions. The contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented.	A Marginal raiting is appropriate when a significant event occurred that the contractor had trouble overcoming which impacted the Government/Owner.
(U) Unsatisfactory	Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element or sub-element contains serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective.	An Unsatisfactory raiting is appropriate when multiple significant events occurred that the contractor had trouble overcoming and which impacted the Government/Owner. A singular problem, however, could be of such serious magnitude that it alone constitutes an unsatisfactory raiting.
(N) Not Applicable	No information or did not apply to your contract	Raiting will be neither positive nor negative.

PAST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION
(TO BE COMPLETED BY CLIENT)

1. QUALITY	
a) Quality of technical data/report preparation efforts	E VG S M U N
b) Ability to meet quality standards specified for technical performance	E VG S M U N
c) Timeliness/effectiveness of contract problem resolution without extensive customer guidance	E VG S M U N
d) Adequacy/effectiveness of quality control program and adherence to contract quality assurance requirements (without adverse effect on performance)	E VG S M U N
2. SCHEDULE/TIMELINESS OF PERFORMANCE:	
a) Compliance with contract delivery/completion schedules including any significant intermediate milestones. <i>(If liquidated damages were assessed or the schedule was not met, please address below)</i>	E VG S M U N
b) Rate the contractor's use of available resources to accomplish tasks identified in the contract	E VG S M U N
3. CUSTOMER SATISFACTION	
a) To what extent were the end users satisfied with the project?	E VG S M U N
b) Contractor was reasonable and cooperative in dealing with your staff (including the ability to successfully resolve disagreements/disputes; responsiveness to administrative reports, businesslike and communication)	E VG S M U N
c) To what extent was the contractor cooperative, businesslike, and concerned with the interests of the customer?	E VG S M U N
d) Overall customer satisfaction	E VG S M U N
4. MANAGEMENT/PERSONNEL/LABOR	
a) Effectiveness of on-site management of subcontractors, suppliers, materials, and/or labor force?	E VG S M U N
b) Ability to hire, apply, and retain a qualified workforce through this effort	E VG S M U N
c) Government Property Control	E VG S M U N
d) Knowledge/expertise demonstrated by contractor personnel	E VG S M U N
e) Utilization of Small Business	E VG S M U N
f) Ability to simultaneously manage multiple projects with multiple disciplines	E VG S M U N
g) Ability to assimilate and incorporate changes in requirements and/or priority, including planning, execution and response to Government changed	E VG S M U N
h) Effectiveness of overall management (including ability to effectively lead, manage and control the program)	E VG S M U N

5. COST/FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT	
a) Ability to meet the terms and conditions within the contractually agreed price(s)?	E VG S M U N
b) Contractor proposed innovative alternative methods/processes that reduced cost, improved maintainability or other factors that benefited the client	E VG S M U N
c) If this is/was a Government cost type contract, please rate the Contractor's timeliness and accuracy in submitting monthly invoices with appropriate back-up documentation, monthly status reports/budget variance reports, compliance with established budgets and avoidance of significant and/or unexplained variances (under runs or overruns)	E VG S M U N
d) Is the Contractor's accounting system adequate for management and tracking of costs? <i>If no, please explain in Remarks section.</i>	Yes No
e) If this is/was a Government contract, has/was this contract been partially or completely terminated for default or convenience or are there any pending terminations? <i>Indicate if show cause or cure notices were issued, or any default action in comment section below.</i>	Yes No
f) Have there been any indications that the contractor has had any financial problems? <i>If yes, please explain below.</i>	Yes No
6. SAFETY/SECURITY	
a) To what extent was the contractor able to maintain an environment of safety, adhere to its approved safety plan, and respond to safety issues? (Includes: following the users rules, regulations, and requirements regarding housekeeping, safety, correction of noted deficiencies, etc.)	E VG S M U N
b) Contractor complied with all security requirements for the project and personnel security requirements.	E VG S M U N
7. GENERAL	
a) Ability to successfully respond to emergency and/or surge situations (including notifying COR, PM or Contracting Officer in a timely manner regarding urgent contractual issues)	E VG S M U N
b) Compliance with contractual terms/ provisions (<i>explain if specific issues</i>)	E VG S M U N
c) Would you hire or work with this firm again? (<i>if no, please explain below</i>)	Yes No
d) In summary, provide an overall rating for the work performed by this contractor.	E VG S M U N

Please provide responses to the questions above (if applicable) and/or additional remarks. Furthermore, please provide a brief narrative addressing specific strengths, weaknesses, deficiencies, or other comments which may assist our office in evaluating performance risk. (please attach additional pages if necessary)

Client Signature:

Date: